

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

`				\
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,085	12/02/1999	KATSUTOSHI SAKAO	SONYJP3.3-0	9445
530 7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK			
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2654	15
		DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/445,085	SAKAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Angela A. Armstrong	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 2004.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 50-66 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 50-66 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

ł

Art Unit: 2654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 50-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al (US Patent No. 6,199,076) in view of Case (US Patent No. 6,516,299) and further in view of Townsend et al (US Patent Application No. 2002/0042918).
- 2. Regarding claim 50, Logan teaches a receiver for receiving compressed digital data as converting received digital signals to analog for use with a speaker (col. 4, lines 55-59) and storing the received data on a replaceable media (col. 7, lines 63-66)

Additionally, Logan teaches a decoder for decoding the received compressed digital data to provide decoded digital data as a stereo codec at col. 4, lines 55-56

Additionally, Logan teaches compressed data of a plurality of contents at col. 5, lines 55-col. 6, lines 5 in which Logan teaches that the compressed data consists of audio programs, announcements, text, image, advertising segments and program catalog information and col. 44, lines 5-48 in which Logan teaches of Audio Programming with HTML which allows for interactively browsing audio programs with synchronized images, conversion of HTML to synthetic speech, and viewing and printing of narrative text.

Art Unit: 2654

Logan teaches a first output terminal for providing the compressed digital data to an external device through a bi-directional data communication line at col. 4, line 46-50.

Logan teaches a second output terminal for providing the decoded digital data to the external device through a one-way data communication line at col. 7, lines 63-66.

Logan does not specifically teach a controller that determines which type of data to provide to an external device as a function of a connection state with the external device.

However, selectively providing data type to a device as a function of the device capabilities was well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Case teaches a method and system for modifying audio signals for compatibility of a selected playback destination. Specifically, Case teaches implementation of a controller which identifies one of a plurality of destinations, modifies the audio data as needed to be compatible with the identified destination, and transmits the audio data to the destination (col. 4, line 46 continuing to col. 5, line 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Logan to implement a controller for controlling the type of data output to external devices, as provided by Case, for the purpose of ensuring that the transmitted data can be fully utilized at the receiver.

Logan teaches other information storage, processing and communications schemes can be used in the system, including cable modem and satellite links (col. 7, lines 41-66).

Logan does not teach the specifics of the other possible information storage, processing and communications schemes to include an integrated receiver decoder (IRD) or a MPEG audio decoder.

Art Unit: 2654

Townsend teaches a receiver for receiving video signals comprising video data and information data which provides for a digital integrated receiver decoder to receive signals from a satellite, a video recorder, a personal computer, descrambling of signals that are input to a video decompression and processing circuit and an audio decompression and processing circuit, and MPEG decoding (paragraphs 0035 and 0037-0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Logan to implement other possible information storage, processing and communications schemes to include an integrated receiver decoder (IRD) or a MPEG audio decoder, for the purpose of providing the user the capabilities to access and store a plurality of data formats in a plurality of environments.

Regarding claim 51, Logan teaches converting the received digital signals to analog for use with a speaker (col. 4, lines 55-59).

Regarding claim 52, receiving compressed digital data and additional information at col. 5, lines 55-col. 6, lines 5, wherein the additional information includes images (col. 6, lines 1-3; col. 5, lines 55-59), character information (col. 4, lines 46-50, col. 5, line 55 – col. 6, line 5), compressed tunes (col. 5, lines 55-63) and the audio data and additional information are distributed through digital broadcast (col. 40, lines 18-21).

Regarding claims 59-62, Logan teaches other information storage, processing and communications schemes can be used in the system, including cable modem and satellite links (col. 7, lines 41-66).

Art Unit: 2654

Logan does not teach the specifics of the other possible information storage, processing and communications schemes to include an integrated receiver decoder (IRD) or a MPEG audio decoder.

Townsend teaches a receiver for receiving video signals comprising video data and information data which provides for a digital integrated receiver decoder to receive signals from a satellite, a video recorder, a personal computer, descrambling of signals that are input to a video decompression and processing circuit and an audio decompression and processing circuit, and MPEG decoding (paragraphs 0035 and 0037-0039).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Logan to implement other possible information storage, processing and communications schemes to include an integrated receiver decoder (IRD) or a MPEG audio decoder, for the purpose of providing the user the capabilities to access and store a plurality of data formats in a plurality of environments.

Regarding claims 54-56, 58, and 63-66, claims 54-56, 58, and 63-66are similar in scope and content to claims 50-52 and 59-62, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,085 Page 6

Art Unit: 2654

3. Claims 53 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al (US Patent No. 6,199,076) in view of Case (US Patent No. 6,516,299) and Townsend et al (US Patent Application No. 2002/0042918), as applied to claims 50 and 54 above, and in further

view of well known prior art.

4. Regarding claims 53 and 57, Logan and Case teach everything as claimed in claims 50 and 54. The combination does not specifically teach that the controller carries out control so that a connection for providing the received compressed digital data to an external device is preferentially selected. However, preferentially providing compressed data to an external device was well known in the art as a mechanism for reducing data storage requirements.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to preferentially provide compressed data to an external device in the audio distribution system of Logan, for the purpose of reducing data storage requirements, as was well known in the art.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 50-66 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,085 Page 7

Art Unit: 2654

### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2654

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong

Examiner

Art Unit 2654

AAA

March 19, 2004

RICHEMOND DORVIL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER